

A computational approach to free divisors and logarithmic \mathcal{D} -modules

J.M. Ucha and F.J. Castro-Jiménez*

Abstract

We apply algorithmic techniques for comparing some \mathcal{D} -modules associated to a kind of divisors in \mathbf{C}^n .

Introduction

We will work on some (analytic) \mathcal{D} -modules associated to a germ of holomorphic function on \mathbf{C}^n . We propose four natural questions on the comparison of these \mathcal{D} -modules. Although our general settings are in the analytic category, we prove that if the input is a complex polynomial in n variables then the associated objects are computable.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C}^n}$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $X = \mathbf{C}^n$. Consider a point $x \in \mathbf{C}^n$. Denote by $Der(\mathcal{O}_x)$ the \mathcal{O}_x -module of \mathbf{C} -derivations of \mathcal{O}_x (the elements in $Der(\mathcal{O}_x)$ are called *vector fields*).

Let $D \subset X$ be a divisor and $x \in D$. A vector field $\delta \in Der(\mathcal{O}_x)$ is said to be *logarithmic* with respect to D if $\delta(f) = af$ for some $a \in \mathcal{O}_x$, where f is a local (reduced) equation of the germ $(D, x) \subset (\mathbf{C}^n, x)$. The \mathcal{O}_x -module of logarithmic vector fields (or logarithmic derivations) is denoted by $Der(\log D)_x$. This yields a \mathcal{O} -module sheaf denoted by $Der(\log D)$.

Definition 0.1. ([13]) The divisor D is said to be *free at the point* $x \in D$ if the \mathcal{O}_x -module $Der(\log D)_x$ is free. The divisor D is called *free* if it is free at each point $x \in D$.

Smooth divisors and normal crossing divisors are free. By [13] any reduced germ of plane curve $D \subset \mathbf{C}^2$ is a free divisor.

By Saito's criterium [13], $D \equiv (f = 0) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ is free at a point x if and only if there exist n vector fields $\delta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \partial_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that $\det(a_{ij}) = uf$ where u is a unit in \mathcal{O}_x . Here ∂_j is the partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ and a_{ij} is a holomorphic function in \mathcal{O}_x .

*Partially supported by DGESIC and FQM-218.

1 Logarithmic \mathcal{D} -modules

Let us denote by $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_X$ the sheaf (of rings) of linear differential operators with holomorphic coefficients on $X = \mathbf{C}^n$.

A local section P of \mathcal{D} (i.e. a linear differential operator) is a finite sum $P = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \partial^{\alpha}$ where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n$, a_{α} is a local section of \mathcal{O} and $\partial = (\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n)$ with $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ in some local chart.

For any divisor $D \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}[\star D]$ the sheaf of meromorphic functions with poles along D . It follows from the results of Bernstein-Björk ([1], [2]) on the existence of the b -function for each local equation f of D , that $\mathcal{O}[\star D]$ is a left coherent \mathcal{D} -module. Kashiwara proved that the dimension of its characteristic variety has dimension n and then that $\mathcal{O}[\star D]$ is holonomic, [11].

We follow [3] and [4] to define two \mathcal{D} -modules associated to any divisor D . We consider first the (left) ideal $I^{\log D} \subset \mathcal{D}$ generated by the logarithmic vector fields $Der(\log D)$ (see 0.1). On the other hand, in [15] (see also [9]) L. Narváez suggested the study of the (left) ideal in \mathcal{D} , denoted by $\tilde{I}^{\log D}$, and generated by the set $\{\delta + a \mid \delta \in I^{\log D} \text{ and } \delta(f) = af\}$. Let us write $\widetilde{M}^{\log D} = \mathcal{D}/\tilde{I}^{\log D}$.

There exists a natural morphism $\phi_D : \widetilde{M}^{\log D} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[\star D]$ defined by $\phi_D(\overline{P}) = P(1/f)$ where \overline{P} denotes the class of the operator $P \in \mathcal{D}$ modulo $\tilde{I}^{\log D}$. The image of ϕ_D is $\mathcal{D} \frac{1}{f}$, i.e. the \mathcal{D} -submodule of $\mathcal{O}[\star D]$ generated by $1/f$.

As a natural question we ask for the relationship between $M^{\log D}$, $\widetilde{M}^{\log D}$ and $\mathcal{O}[\star D]$. We will listed below some partial answers to this question.

When D is defined by a polynomial $f \in \mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then the ideals $I^{\log D}$ and $\tilde{I}^{\log D}$ are computable. We simply compute a system of generators (over the polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$) of syzygies of (f_1, \dots, f_n, f) where $f_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$. In this case both ideals $I^{\log D}$ and $\tilde{I}^{\log D}$ are considered in the Weyl algebra $A_n(\mathbf{C})$, and this is enough because the inclusion $A_n(\mathbf{C}) \subset \mathcal{D}$ is flat.

We say that a free divisor D is of *Spencer type* if the complex

$$\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \wedge^{\bullet} Der(\log D) \rightarrow M^{\log D} \rightarrow 0$$

(introduced in [4]) is a (locally) free resolution of $M^{\log D}$ and if this last \mathcal{D} -module is holonomic.

Theorem 1.1. [10] *Suppose D is of Spencer type. Then $(M^{\log D})^* \simeq \widetilde{M}^{\log D}$.*

Here $(M^{\log D})^*$ is the dual \mathcal{D} -module of $M^{\log D}$.

Theorem 1.2. ([15, 9]) *In dimension 2. The morphism ϕ_D is an isomorphism if and only if D is a quasi-homogeneous plane curve.*

Theorem 1.3. [10] *Suppose the divisor $D \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ is free and locally quasi-homogeneous. Then the morphism ϕ_D is an isomorphism (so, $\widetilde{M}^{\log D}$ and $\mathcal{O}[\star D]$ are isomorphic as \mathcal{D} -modules).*

2 Open problems trough a worked example

Here we will explicitly compare logarithmic \mathcal{D} -modules and $\mathcal{O}[\star D]$.

We will treat here the divisor $D \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ whose local equation at $(0, 0, 0)$ is given by $f = 0$ with

$$f = x(x^2 - y^3)(x^2 - zy^3).$$

This divisor is (globally) free and $\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$ form a (global) basis of $Der(\log D)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \frac{3}{2}x\partial_x + y\partial_y \\ \delta_2 &= (y^3z - x^2)\partial_z \\ \delta_3 &= (-\frac{1}{2}xy^2)\partial_x - \frac{1}{3}x^2\partial_y + (y^2z^2 - y^2z)\partial_z, \end{aligned}$$

whose coefficients verify that

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{3}{2}x & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y^3z - x^2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}xy^2 & -\frac{1}{3}x^2 & y^2z^2 - y^2z \end{vmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2}f.$$

This last equality implies, by Saito's criterium [13], that $Der(\log D)$ is a free module (of rank 3) over the ring \mathcal{O} of convergent power series in 3 variables. In fact, the global $Der(\log D)$ is in this case a free module (of rank 3) over the ring R of polynomials in three variables and we have shown a basis. However, if a global divisor D in \mathbf{C}^3 (i.e. a divisor D defined by a polynomial f) is free at each point of \mathbf{C}^3 , it is locally free and then free over the polynomial ring, by Quillen-Suslin theorem. So, it is possible to find a global basis of $Der(\log f)$ as a R -module, using for example the Logar-Sturmfels algorithm.

To obtain $(M^{\log D})^* \simeq \widetilde{M}^{\log D}$ we have to follow two steps:

- Step 1: Check if $M^{\log D}$ is holonomic. This computation could be made with [14]. The interest of this question is evident: if $M^{\log D}$ is not holonomic, the computation of its dual could not be managed as we will do.
- Step 2: Compute a free resolution of $M^{\log D}$ with Gröbner basis computation of syzygies. Check if D is of Spencer type. If this happens then duality holds by [10].

We return to our example. It verifies these properties. The module $Syz(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ is generated by the syzygies obtained from the commutators $[\delta_i, \delta_j]$. We have $Syz(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = \langle \mathbf{s}_{12}, \mathbf{s}_{13}, \mathbf{s}_{23} \rangle$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{12} &= (-\delta_2, \delta_1 - 3, 0) \\ \mathbf{s}_{13} &= (-\delta_3, 0, \delta_1 - 2) \\ \mathbf{s}_{23} &= (0, -\delta_3 - y^2z, \delta_2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the module $Syz(\mathbf{s}_{12}, \mathbf{s}_{13}, \mathbf{s}_{23})$ is generated by the element \mathbf{r} :

$$\mathbf{r} = (-y^2z^2\partial_z + y^2z\partial_z + \frac{1}{2}xy^2\partial_x - y^2z + \frac{1}{3}x^2\partial_y, \quad y^3z\partial_z - x^2\partial_z, \quad -y\partial_y - \frac{3}{2}x\partial_x + 5).$$

This is the element required to have the Spencer type resolution so, as we have said, duality holds because our divisor $f = 0$ is of Spencer type.

As another consequence of this fact, we have in this example, by [4]

$$\Omega^\bullet(\log D) \simeq \text{Sol}(M^{\log D})$$

here $\Omega^\bullet(\log D)$ is the complex of logarithmic differential forms with respect to f and $\text{Sol}()$ means the solution complex of the corresponding module.

Once duality is proved, a new problem is to obtain the least integer root α_0 of the b -function because $\mathcal{O}[\star D] \simeq \mathcal{D}1/f^{\alpha_0}$ [11]. If the least integer root is -1, then

$$\mathcal{O}[\star D] \simeq \mathcal{D} \cdot 1/f \simeq \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}(1/f).$$

As in this example $\tilde{I}^{\log D} = \text{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}(1/f)$ then Logarithmic Comparison Theorem would hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^\bullet[\star D] &\simeq DR(\mathcal{O}[\star D]) \simeq DR(\widetilde{M}^{\log D}) \simeq \\ &\simeq DR((M^{\log D})^*) \simeq \text{Sol}(M^{\log D}) \simeq \Omega^\bullet(\log D) \end{aligned}$$

here $DR()$ means the de Rham complex.

The computations of the global b -function of f and $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}(1/f)$ could be made with [14] again, that is, using the algorithms of [12].

So, as we have seen, the following problems arise naturally (for a general divisor D in \mathbf{C}^n):

1. If D is free, is $M^{\log D}$ holonomic?
2. If D is free, does $M^{\log D}$ admits a Spencer type resolution?
3. Are there free, not of Spencer type divisors such that duality holds?
4. When the ideals $\tilde{I}^{\log D}$ and $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}(1/f)$ coincide?

Complexity related problem.- Obtaining the b -function and the annihilator of $1/f^\alpha$ could be a problem of a big complexity. Try the family $x^p + y^q + xy^{q-1} + zx^r$ where $4 \leq p < q \leq r$.

Help.- When the complexity of obtaining the b -function or $\text{Ann}_{\mathcal{D}}(1/f)$ is intractable, we have an auxiliary tool to check if $\mathcal{O}[\star D] \simeq \widetilde{M}^{\log D}$: compute the $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}}^n(\widetilde{M}^{\log D}, \mathcal{O})$ and try to find an argument to assure that is not zero. As $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{D}}^n(\mathcal{O}[\star D], \mathcal{O}) = 0$ by the regularity of \mathcal{O} , this is an effective way to compare this modules. Sometimes the differential equations are not that difficult to solve! ([15]).

References

- [1] Bernstein, I. N., *Analytic continuation of generalized functions with respect to a parameter*, Functional Anal. and its Applications 6, (1972), p. 273-285
- [2] Björk, J-E. *Rings of Differential Operators*. North-Holland, Amsterdam 1979.
- [3] Calderón-Moreno, F.J. *Operadores diferenciales logarítmicos con respecto a un divisor libre*. Ph.D. Thesis. June 1997.
- [4] Calderón-Moreno, F.J. *Logarithmic Differential Operators and Logarithmic De Rham Complexes relative to a Free Divisor*. Ann. Sci. E.N.S., 4^e série, t. 32, 1999, p. 701-714.
- [5] Calderón-Moreno F.J., D. Mond, L. Narváez-Macarro and F.J. Castro-Jiménez. *Logarithmic Cohomology of the Complement of a Plane Curve*. Preprint, University of Warwick, 3/1999.
- [6] Calderón-Moreno, F.J. and Narváez-Macarro, L. *Locally quasi-homogeneous free divisors are Koszul free*. Prepub. Fac. Matemáticas, Univ. Sevilla, n. 56; Oct. 1999.
- [7] Calderón-Moreno, F.J. and Narváez-Macarro, L. *The module Df^s for locally quasi-homogeneous free divisors*. Prepub. Dept. Álgebra, Univ. Sevilla, n. 4, May, 2000.
- [8] Castro-Jiménez, F.J., Mond, D. and Narváez-Macarro, L. *Cohomology of the complement of a free divisor*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 348 (1996), no. 8, 3037–3049.
- [9] Castro-Jiménez, F.J., Ucha-Enríquez, J.M. *Explicit comparison theorems for \mathcal{D} -modules*. To appear in Journal of Symbolic Computation.
- [10] Castro-Jiménez, F.J., Ucha-Enríquez, J.M. *Free divisors and duality for \mathcal{D} -modules*. ArXiv.math.AG.0103085; March 14, 2001
- [11] Kashiwara, M. *On the holonomic systems of linear differential equations II*, Invent. Math., 49, 1978, 2, 121–135
- [12] Oaku, T. *Algorithms for the b-function and \mathcal{D} -modules associated with a polynomial*. J. of Pure and Appl. Alg., 117 & 118:495-518, 1997.
- [13] Saito, K. *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*. J. Fac Sci. Univ. Tokyo 27:256-291, 1980.
- [14] Takayama, N. **Kan**: a system for computation in Algebraic Analysis. Source code available for Unix computers from `ftp.math.kobe-u.ac.jp`, 1991.
- [15] Ucha-Enríquez, J.M. *Métodos constructivos en álgebras de operadores diferenciales*. Tesis Doctoral, Universidad de Sevilla, 1999.

F.J. Castro-Jiménez and J.M. Ucha; Depto. de Álgebra, University of Sevilla; Apdo. 1160, E-41080 SEVILLA (Spain); e-mail:{castro, ucha}@algebra.us.es