

# Bipartite hallucal sesamoid bones: relationship with hallux valgus and metatarsal index

Pedro V. Munuera · Gabriel Domínguez · María Reina ·  
Piedad Trujillo

Received: 23 April 2007 / Revised: 15 June 2007 / Accepted: 8 July 2007 / Published online: 2 September 2007  
© ISS 2007

## Abstract

**Objective** The objective was to relate the incidence of the partition of the hallucal sesamoid bones to the size of the first metatarsal and the hallux valgus deformity.

**Materials and Methods** In a sample of 474 radiographs, the frequency of appearance of bipartite sesamoids was studied. The length and relative protrusion of the first metatarsal, and the hallux abductus angle, were measured and compared between the feet with and without sesamoid partition.

**Results** The results showed that 14.6% of the feet studied had at least one partite sesamoid, that the sesamoid most frequently divided was the medial, and that unilateral partition was the most common. No difference was found in the incidence of partite sesamoids between men and women, or between left and right feet.

**Conclusion** Protrusion and length of the first metatarsal are greater in feet with partite sesamoids than in feet without this condition. A significantly higher incidence of bipartite medial sesamoid was obtained in feet with hallux valgus compared with normal feet.

**Keywords** Bipartite hallucal sesamoid bones · First metatarsal length · Hallux valgus

## Introduction

The sesamoids are small bones of rounded or oval morphology that owe their name to their similarity to the seed of *Sesamun indicum*, a plant of eastern India used as a purgative by physicians of Ancient Greece. The bones are located completely or partially within a tendon, and although their anatomical location is usually the same, some sesamoids appear infrequently. There are sesamoids that always ossify and others that remain in a cartilaginous or fibrocartilaginous state throughout life, so authors differ in reporting the frequency of appearance of particular sesamoids [1].

In the forefoot, two sesamoids are constantly found under the head of the first metatarsal. These sesamoids often develop from several ossification centres. When the fusion of these ossification centres is defective, partition of the sesamoids takes place [2]. The form and size of the segments of a partite sesamoid can vary considerably and have been described previously [3].

Sometimes, the presence of bipartite sesamoids has been associated with a painful pathology of the great toe, but association between hallux valgus and bipartite sesamoids of the hallux has been reported on few occasions [4–7]. In 1992, Weil and Hill [4] carried out what is, as far as we know, the only existing study on the frequency of appearance of partite sesamoids in feet with and without hallux valgus. Occasionally, it has also been associated with variations in the length of the first metatarsal—specifically, with the metatarsal formula “index plus” [7, 8]. We are unaware of any studies in the medical literature that have been carried out with the aim of determining whether such a relationship exists or not.

Hallux valgus has often been associated with an increase in the length of the first metatarsal [9–15]. This leads to the

P. V. Munuera · G. Domínguez  
Department of Podiatrics, University of Seville,  
Seville, Spain

P. V. Munuera (✉) · G. Domínguez · M. Reina · P. Trujillo  
Departamento de Podología,  
Centro Docente de Fisioterapia y Podología,  
C/ Avicena, s/n. 41009,  
Seville, Spain  
e-mail: pmunuera@us.es

notion that if the presence of bipartite sesamoids is related to the hallux valgus deformity, it might also be related to variations in the length of the first metatarsal.

This study has been designed with the aim of testing whether the presence of bipartite sesamoids is greater in feet with hallux valgus than in normal feet and determining whether its appearance is more frequent in “index plus” feet than in feet with another type of metatarsal index.

## Materials and methods

The sample of this study comprised 474 feet (237 left and 237 right) belonging to 238 individuals (in 2 individuals, only one foot was X-rayed), of whom 99 were women and 139 men, with a mean age of  $23.82 \pm 2.68$  years old. These participants were patients attending the Clinical Podiatric Service at the University of Seville during the period 2003–2006 due to hallux valgus or some other problem of the rest of the foot (124), and podiatry students volunteering to take part in the research (114). Each participant was asked for written consent. The work was approved by the Experimentation Ethics Committee of the University of Seville.


The inclusion criteria were:

1. To be in the third decade of life (20–30 years old), so that the growth physes had already closed [16–18], and to avoid degenerative alterations of the first metatarsal that could affect its length
2. Never to have undergone osteoarticular surgery on the first ray
3. Never to have suffered serious trauma to the first ray that could have altered its osseous morphology
4. Not to suffer from degenerative osteoarticular diseases or neuromuscular imbalances


In each individual, weight-bearing dorsoplantar radiography was performed with the two feet together, the ray centred between the naviculars of both feet, with the tube inclined  $15^\circ$  with respect to the vertical, and at a distance of one metre from the foot. The kilovolts and milliamps per second were fixed as indicated by the manufacturer (45 kV and 4.0 mA). A digital image of each radiograph was created, using a scanner, enabling the exploration of images on positive film (EPSON EXPRESSION 1680 Pro<sup>®</sup>; Seiko Epson Corporation, Nagano, Japan). The radiographic measurements were made using AutoCAD<sup>®</sup> software (Autodesk, San Rafael, CA, USA), whose effectiveness for the measurement of radiographs has previously been demonstrated [19]. The following measurements were taken: relative protrusion between the first and second metatarsals, to test whether the metatarsal formula is index plus, index minus, or index plus-minus [20]; the length of

the first metatarsal, to test whether, in the feet with bipartite sesamoids, only the protrusion of the first metatarsal varies, or also the absolute length; the hallux abductus angle, to compare it between feet with bipartite sesamoids and those without; and length of the second metatarsal, to enable us to express the length of the first metatarsal as a percentage of the length of the second. All measurements were made by the same observer (PVM), who also recorded the appearance of partite sesamoids. Intra-observer reliability of these radiographic measurements has previously been tested, and the high intra-class correlation coefficient obtained showed that it was acceptable [21–23].

The first metatarsal protrusion is not an absolute measurement, but rather a measurement of the length of the first metatarsal relative to that of the second metatarsal. This metatarsal protrusion distance is a measurement between the two arcs that represent the first and second metatarsal lengths. The method used to measure relative metatarsal protrusion is that proposed by Hardy and Clapham [9]. This consisted of tracing a transverse line on the tarsus, joining the posterior end of the tubercle of the navicular and the lateral-distal end of the calcaneus. The point where the axis of the second metatarsal intersects this line was the centre of two arcs that pass through the distal-most points of the first and second metatarsal heads (Fig. 1). The relative protrusion between these two metatarsals was obtained by measuring the distance



**Fig. 1** Method of measuring the relative metatarsal protrusion between the first and second metatarsals, according to Hardy and Clapham [9]. p: protrusion



**Fig. 2** Method of measuring the first metatarsal length. A: distal end of the first metatarsal head; B: point of intersection of the first metatarsal longitudinal axis with a line connecting the proximal-medial and proximal-lateral ends of the metatarsal base

between the two arcs. With the values for the protrusion of the first metatarsal, three groups were created in accordance with the “metatarsal index” described by Nilsson [20]: index plus-minus, if the protrusion of the first metatarsal was equal to that of the second, or differed by values not exceeding  $\pm 0.5$  mm; index plus, if the protrusion of the first metatarsal was greater than that of the second by more than 0.5 mm; and index minus, if the protrusion of the first metatarsal was less than that of the second by more than 0.5 mm.

The method of measuring the length of the first and second metatarsals was that used by Heden and Sorto [10] in 1981 (Fig. 2). It consisted of determining the distance between the distal end of the metatarsal head and the bisection of its base. The point that those authors identified as bisection of the metatarsal base was the point of intersection of the longitudinal axis of the metatarsal with a line connecting the proximal-medial and proximal-lateral ends of the metatarsal base.

In order to standardise the measurement of the length of the first metatarsal, its value was expressed as a percentage of the total length of the second metatarsal. This procedure was previously carried out to the same end by other authors [13, 24]. The hallux abductus angle was measured in accordance with the procedure described by Coughlin et al. [25]. The values of this angle were used to create two groups: feet without hallux valgus, in which the hallux abductus angle was less than or equal to  $15^\circ$ ; and feet with hallux valgus, in which this angle exceeded  $15^\circ$  [25].

The data were analysed using the SPSS 14.0 for Windows (SPSS Science, Chicago, IL, USA). A descriptive analysis was made of the frequency of appearance of bipartite sesamoids, their distribution by gender, the bilaterality, and the groups created. The descriptive analysis also yielded the mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence interval for each radiographic measurement. The frequency of appearance of bipartite sesamoids was compared between the three types of “metatarsal index”, using the Chi-squared test, comparing the groups two by two. The Chi-squared test was also used to compare the occurrence of bipartite sesamoids between feet with and those without hallux valgus, between men and women, and between left and right feet. In addition, the values for first metatarsal protrusion, length of the first metatarsal, and hallux abductus angle were compared between the feet that had bipartite sesamoids and those that did not, using Student’s *t* test for independent samples. The use of this statistical test was decided on after checking that the data

**Table 1** Frequency of appearance and distribution of partite sesamoids

	Participants ( <i>N</i> =238)		Feet ( <i>N</i> =474)		Total amount	
	Male ( <i>n</i> =139)	Female ( <i>n</i> =99)	Male ( <i>n</i> =277)	Female ( <i>n</i> =197)	Medial	Lateral
Medial unilateral	8	14	8	14	22	–
Medial bilateral	8	8	16	16	32	–
Lateral unilateral	8	2	8	2	–	10
Lateral bilateral	1	0	2	0	–	2
Both unilateral	2	0	2	0	2	2
Both bilateral	1	0	2	0	2	2
Medial unilateral in one foot, lateral unilateral in the other	1	0	2	0	1	1
Total	29	24	40	32	59	17

followed a normal distribution using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. *P* values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

## Results

### Frequency of appearance and distribution of partite sesamoids

In the 474 feet studied, 76 partite sesamoids were observed. We found one foot with tripartite medial sesamoid, one foot with multipartite medial sesamoid, and one foot with tripartite lateral sesamoid. The remaining cases were all bipartite sesamoids. These results are shown in Table 1. Comparison of the male feet in which there was at least one partite sesamoid with the female feet in which there was at least one partite sesamoid yielded a Pearson Chi-squared value of 0.73, meaning there was no difference regarding the frequency of appearance of partite sesamoids between men and women.

With regard to the side affected, the sample studied included 237 left feet and 237 right feet. Twenty-eight medial sesamoids and 8 lateral sesamoids were found to be partite in left feet. Twenty-nine medial sesamoids and 8 laterals were found to be partite in right feet. There was no significant difference in the occurrence of partite sesamoids between left and right feet ( $P=0.90$ ).

### Bipartite sesamoids according to the metatarsal index and the size of the first metatarsal

The descriptive values of the radiographic measurements made in all the participants in this study are shown in Table 2. According to the method used in this study to measure the protrusion of the first metatarsal, 71.5% of the feet were “index plus” type, 19.6% of the feet were “index minus” type, and 8.9% of the feet were “index plus-minus” type. The distribution of partite sesamoids according to the metatarsal index is shown in Table 3. The results of comparing the frequency with which the feet of the three groups had partition in any of the sesamoids are shown in Table 4. The differences were not significant. In contrast, when comparing the values of the protrusion and the length

**Table 2** Mean, standard deviation, and 95% confidence interval of the radiographic variables measured

	Mean ± SD	95% CI
Hallux abductus angle (°)	12.4±6.8	11.8–13.1
First metatarsal length (%)	85.2±3.0	84.9–85.4
First metatarsal protrusion (mm)	2.2±3.0	1.9–2.5

**Table 3** Distribution of partite sesamoids according to the metatarsal index

	Feet with partite sesamoids (%)	Partite sesamoids		Total (%)
		Medial	Lateral	
Index plus	56/339 (16.5)	46	13	59 (80.8)
Index minus	9/93 (9.7)	8	1	9 (12.3)
Index plus-minus	4/42 (9.5)	3	2	5 (6.9)
Total	69/474 (14.6)	57	16	73 (100)

of the first metatarsal between the feet that had partite sesamoids and those that did not, significant differences were obtained. There was also a significant difference when these values were compared between the feet that had partition in the medial sesamoid and those that did not. However, the difference was not significant when comparing between feet that had partition in the lateral sesamoid and those that did not. The results of these comparisons can be seen in Table 5.

### Bipartite sesamoids in feet with and without hallux valgus

Of the 474 feet studied, 119 (25.1%) had a hallux abductus angle greater than 15°, and 355 (74.9%) had a hallux abductus angle equal to or less than 15°. The distribution of partite sesamoids according to the hallux abductus angle is shown in Table 6. In 3 feet without hallux valgus and in 1 foot with hallux valgus, both the medial and the lateral sesamoid were partite. When comparing the frequency of partition in either of the two sesamoids between feet with and without hallux valgus using the Pearson Chi-squared test, the difference was significant ( $P<0.0001$ ). When comparing the frequency of partition in the medial sesamoid between feet with and without hallux valgus, the difference was also significant ( $P<0.0001$ ). However, when comparing the frequency of partition in the lateral sesamoid between feet with and without hallux valgus, there was no significant difference ( $P=0.99$ ). When comparing the hallux abductus angle values between the feet that had partite sesamoids and those that did not, significant differences were obtained. The difference was also significant

**Table 4** Pearson Chi-squared test to compare the frequency of appearance of partite sesamoids among the three groups created according to the protrusion of the first metatarsal

	<i>P</i> value
Index plus vs. index minus	0.11
Index plus vs. index plus-minus	0.24
Index minus vs. index minus	0.98

**Table 5** Comparison of the protrusion and length of the first metatarsal between feet with one or more partite sesamoids and those without, between feet with partite medial sesamoid and those without, and between feet with partite lateral sesamoid and those without

	Mean ± SD		Mean ± SD	P
One or more partite sesamoids		No partition		
First metatarsal protrusion	3.4±3.1 mm	First metatarsal protrusion	1.9±3.0 mm	< 0.0001*
First metatarsal length	86.1±3.5%	First metatarsal length	85.0±2.8%	0.006*
Medial sesamoid partition		No partition		
First metatarsal protrusion	3.3±3.2 mm	First metatarsal protrusion	2.0±3.0 mm	0.003*
First metatarsal length	86.1±3.5%	First metatarsal protrusion	85.0±2.8%	0.009*
Lateral sesamoid partition		No partition		
First metatarsal protrusion	3.3±2.4 mm	First metatarsal protrusion	2.1±3.3 mm	0.122
First metatarsal length	85.4±3.2%	First metatarsal protrusion	85.1±2.9%	0.739

\*Significant difference

when these values were compared for the feet that had partition in the medial sesamoid (Fig. 3). However, the difference was not significant when compared for the feet that had partition in the lateral sesamoid. The results of this comparison can be seen in Table 7.

## Discussion

Intra-observer reliability of the measurement procedure has been tested by the authors in previous works [21–23]. In those studies, the reproducibility of the measurement procedure was checked by using five radiographs chosen at random from each group, and by taking the measurements on three occasions, with intervals of a week between measurements. The data obtained were used to calculate the intra-class correlation coefficient, which was greater than 0.90 for these measurements. According to these data, the reproducibility of the measurements is acceptable with the methods used.

### Frequency of appearance and distribution of partite sesamoids

Several earlier works have reported data on the frequency of partition in the sesamoids of the first metatarsophalangeal joint. The percentage can vary from 4% [26, 27] to 33.5% [28]. Our results regarding the frequency of appearance were close to those of Dobas and Silvers [29], who reported that 19.3% of the feet in their sample had at least one partite sesamoid, and those of Inge and Ferguson [3], who reported a frequency of 10.7%. We observed that 15.2% of the feet in our sample had at least one partite sesamoid. We coincide with all the authors consulted in that the sesamoid most frequently found to be partite was the medial [2–4, 8, 26–30]. Some authors attribute the cause to the fact that the medial sesamoid is more subject to trauma than the lateral one because of its location [3, 6, 28, 30], as in normal

conditions the medial sesamoid is found more plantar than the lateral [31].

With regard to bilaterality, the literature contains different opinions. Some authors, such as García and Parkes [32] or Jahss [2], state that the most frequent circumstance is that the sesamoids are bilaterally partite, while others, such as Rodeo et al. [30] or Inge and Ferguson [3], dissent, claiming that they are most frequently unilateral. Our results were more in concordance with the authors who assert that it is more frequent to find unilateral partition. Specifically, these results were similar to those obtained by Dobas and Silvers [29], who reported 35.7% of bilaterality for the tibial sesamoid, and 4.3% of bilaterality for the peroneal sesamoid. Fifty-three of the participants of our sample had partite sesamoids, of whom 19 (34%) had partite sesamoids bilaterally.

We have found few studies addressing the distribution of partite sesamoids between men and women. Rodeo et al. [30] sustained that the incidence was equal for the two sexes. According to the data of Dobas and Silvers [29], 20.9% of the male feet and 17.1% of the female feet studied had partition in one or more sesamoids. Although they did not make a statistical analysis to compare these values between men and women, we can see that the percentages are very similar. Carpintero et al. [8], however, observed a significantly higher incidence of partite sesamoids in female feet than in male feet. The results of our study were

**Table 6** Distribution of partite sesamoids according to the hallux abductus angle

	Feet with partite sesamoids (%)	Partite sesamoids		Total
		Medial	Lateral	
HAA>15°	35/119 (29.4)	32	4	36
HAA<15°	34/355 (9.6)	25	12	37
Total	69/474 (14.6)	57	16	73

HAA: hallux abductus angle

**Fig. 3** Bilateral bipartite medial sesamoid in a patient with bilateral index plus and hallux valgus. Hallux abductus angle value is shown for the right foot. Index plus is show for the left foot. Arrows bipartite medial sesamoid



in accordance with those works that reported a similar incidence for the two sexes.

With regard to the side affected, we studied the same number of left and right feet, and found practically the same number of partite medial and lateral sesamoids in the feet of both sides. This similarity in the distribution of partite sesamoids between left and right feet was also observed by Dobas and Silvers [29], and by Kiter et al. [27].

**Bipartite sesamoids according to the metatarsal index and the size of the first metatarsal**

We found only one study associating partition of the sesamoids with the metatarsal index [8]. In that work, the authors reported a higher frequency of bipartite sesamoids in Egyptian and index plus feet. In our sample, we observed that the percentage of index plus feet in which partite sesamoids appeared was higher than for index minus or index plus-minus feet. However, the Chi-squared test did not show significant differences in the frequency of appearance of partite sesamoids among the three groups.

In contrast, the group of feet with partite sesamoids showed greater length and greater protrusion of the first metatarsal. Carpintero et al. [8] attributed this higher incidence of bipartite sesamoids in index plus type feet to the increase in pressure that a longer first metatarsal exerts on the sesamoids.

The sesamoids appear where tendons change their direction, and in these zones they protect the tendon and give it mechanical support. The hallucal sesamoids, besides protecting the tendon of the flexor hallucis longus, give mechanical support to the intrinsic musculature partially inserted in them, so that the vector of plantar force is greater on the hallux, helping to stabilise the hallux on the ground during the push-off phase [33]. It is possible that the plantar intrinsic musculature of the hallux exerts greater traction on the sesamoids by passing under a metatarsal that is longer and has more protrusion. If the tension received in the sesamoids were sufficient to fragment the ossification centre and divide it into two (or more) nuclei, the subsequent ossification of these separate centres would give rise to partition of the sesamoid. It is thought that the

**Table 7** Comparison of the hallux abductus angle between feet with one or more partite sesamoids and those without, between feet with partite medial sesamoid and without, and between feet with partite lateral sesamoid and those without

	Mean ± SD		Mean ± SD	P
One or more partite sesamoids		No partition		
Hallux abductus angle	16.0±6.8°	Hallux abductus angle	11.8±6.6°	< 0.0001*
Medial sesamoid partition		No partition		
Hallux abductus angle	16.7±6.8°	Hallux abductus angle	11.8 ± 6.6°	< 0.0001*
Lateral sesamoid partition		No partition		
Hallux abductus angle	12.4±5.1°	Hallux abductus angle	12.4 ± 6.8°	1.00

\*Significant difference

defective fusion of various centres of ossification is the cause of partition in the sesamoids [2, 3, 26]. Further research would be necessary with regard to how the size of the first metatarsal could affect partition of the sesamoids.

#### Bipartite sesamoids in feet with and without hallux valgus

Apart from Weil and Hill [4], who specifically associated the hallux valgus deformity with the presence of bipartite sesamoids, few authors have reported the presence of partite sesamoids in feet with this deformity [5–7]. Weil and Hill [4], in a retrospective radiographic study, detected that 32.3% of the 500 feet with hallux valgus studied had bipartite tibial sesamoid, compared with 15.2% of the 500 feet without hallux valgus in their sample. The authors concluded that there was a high incidence of bipartism in hallux valgus, and that it could be a contributory factor in the development of this deformity. In our study, the incidence of partite sesamoids was higher in feet with hallux valgus than in normal feet. The Chi-squared test showed that this was a significant difference. The incidence of partition in the feet with hallux valgus approached that reported by Weil and Hill [4]. Furthermore, comparison of the hallux abductus angle between the group of feet in which there was partition of one or more sesamoids and the group of feet without such partition showed a statistically significant difference ( $P < 0.0001$ ). However, the two comparisons made in this section of the study (Chi-squared and Student's *t* tests) showed no significant difference when this comparison was limited to a bipartite lateral sesamoid. This suggests that the differences observed specifically involve the medial sesamoid.

Although it has been demonstrated that there is a higher incidence of partition of the medial sesamoid in feet with hallux valgus, it is not clear whether the partition occurs as a result of the deformity or, contrarily, the development of the deformity is furthered by the presence of a partite sesamoid. Assuming the following hypotheses, we can deduce that the medial sesamoid is divided as a consequence of the hallux valgus deformity: it is known that in the advanced hallux valgus deformity, the medial sesamoid changes to a more plantar position and falls below the intersesamoid plantar crest of the first metatarsal, which ends up eroding it [26, 34]. This would result in excessive trauma and stress in the medial sesamoid, which could cause the partition of the sesamoid if such stress fragments the ossification centre and the resulting nuclei do not fuse. It is also known that the abductor hallucis muscle produces more flexion than abduction when the hallux rotates in valgus as the deformity advances [7, 35]. This would increase the antero-posterior tension received by the medial sesamoid, and could contribute to the transverse division of the medial sesamoid before it ossifies.

Weil and Hill [4] claimed that a bipartite medial sesamoid could contribute to the development of the hallux valgus deformity. They asserted that when there is a transverse separation of the sesamoid, a mechanical lengthening of the musculature takes place on the medial side of the metatarsophalangeal joint, creating the tendency towards hallux abductus. However, Aper et al. [33, 36] demonstrated that excision of the distal half of the medial sesamoid did not compromise the normal working of the flexor musculature of the hallux.

Whether partition of the medial sesamoid promotes hallux valgus, or hallux valgus promotes partition of the medial sesamoid, remains unknown. What is put forward by the authors in this section of the work is no more than a hypothesis. A study comparing the incidence of partite tibial sesamoid between feet in which hallux valgus has begun before sesamoid ossification starts and feet in which hallux valgus has appeared after ossification of the sesamoids would help to resolve this question.

#### Conclusion

In the sample studied in this work, partition of the hallux sesamoids affected men and women, and the left and right sides, equally, and was more frequent unilaterally. The feet with partition of one or more sesamoids had greater protrusion and greater length of the first metatarsal than the feet without partite sesamoids. A significantly higher incidence of partition of the medial sesamoid was observed in the feet with hallux valgus than in the feet without hallux valgus. However, it remains unknown whether the partition of the medial sesamoid is the cause or the consequence of this deformity.

#### References

1. Sarrafian SK. Anatomy of the foot and ankle: descriptive, topographic, functional, 2nd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott; 1993.
2. Jahss MH. The sesamoids of the hallux. *Clin Orthop* 1981; 157: 88–97.
3. Inge GAL, Ferguson AB. Surgery of the sesamoid bones of the great toe. An anatomic and clinical study with a report of forty-one cases. *Arch Surg* 1933; 27: 466–489.
4. Weil LS, Hill M. Bipartite tibial sesamoid and hallux abducto valgus deformity: a previously unreported correlation. *J Foot Surg* 1992; 31: 104–111.
5. Yildirim Y, Saygi B. Congenital absence of the lateral sesamoid. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 2006; 96: 78–81.
6. Frankel JP, Harrington J. Symptomatic bipartite sesamoids. *J Foot Surg* 1990; 29: 318–323.
7. Scranton PE, Rutkowski R. Anatomic variations in the first ray. II. Disorders of the sesamoids. *Clin Orthop* 1980; 151: 256–264.

8. Carpintero P, Mesa M, García E, Sánchez G, Carpintero A. Pie cavo y sesamoideo bipartito. *Rev Ortop Traum* 1991; 35: 177–179.
9. Hardy RH, Clapham JC. Observations on hallux valgus. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1951; 33B: 376–391.
10. Heden RI, Sorto LA. The buckle point and the metatarsal protrusion's relationship to hallux valgus. *J Am Podiatr Assoc* 1981; 71: 200–208.
11. Duke H, Newman LM, Bruszkoff BL, Daniels R. Relative metatarsal length patterns in hallux abducto valgus. *J Am Podiatr Assoc* 1982; 72: 1–5.
12. Read L. The structural abnormality in hallux valgus: is it the same in all age groups? *J Bone Joint Surg* 1983; 65B: 367.
13. Tanaka Y, Takakura Y, Kumai T, Samoto N, Tamai S. Radiographic analysis of hallux valgus. A two-dimensional coordinate system. *J Bone Joint Surg* 1995; 77A: 205–213.
14. Bryant A, Tinley P, Singer K. Comparison of radiographic measurements in normal, hallux valgus, and hallux limitus feet. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2000; 39: 39–43.
15. Mancuso JE, Abramow SP, Landsman MJ, Waldman M, Carioscia M. The zero-plus first metatarsal and its relationship to bunion deformity. *J Foot Ankle Surg* 2003; 42: 319–326.
16. Soames RW. Sistema esquelético. In: Williams PL, Bannister LH, Berry MM, Collins P, Dyson M, Dussek JE, Ferguson MWJ, editors. *Anatomía de Gray*, vol I, 38th ed. Madrid: Harcourt; 1998: 425–736.
17. Tachdjian MO. *The child's foot*. Philadelphia: Saunders, 1985.
18. Lelièvre J, Lelièvre JF. *Patología del pie*, 4th ed. Barcelona: Masson, 1982.
19. Piqué C, Maled I, Arabi J, Vila J. Radiographic angles in hallux valgus: differences between measurements made manually and with a computerized program. *Foot Ankle Int* 2006; 27: 175–180.
20. Nilsson H. Hallux rigidus and its treatment. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1930; 1: 295–303.
21. Munuera PV, Polo J, Rebollo J. Length of the first metatarsal and hallux in hallux valgus in the initial stage. *Int Orthop* 2007; DOI 10.1007/s00264-007-0350-9.
22. Munuera PV, Domínguez G, Polo J, Rebollo J. Medial deviation of the first metatarsal in incipient hallux valgus deformity. *Foot Ankle Int* 2006; 27: 1030–1035.
23. Munuera PV, Domínguez G, Castillo JM. Radiographic study about the size of the first metatarso-digital segment in feet with hallux limitus in initial phase. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 2007; (in press).
24. Tanaka Y, Takakura Y, Takaoka T, Akiyama K, Fujii T, Tamai S. Radiographic analysis of hallux valgus in women on weightbearing and nonweightbearing. *Clin Orthop* 1997; 336: 186–194.
25. Coughlin MJ, Saltzman CL, Nunley JA. Angular measurements in the evaluation of hallux valgus deformities: a report of the ad hoc committee of the American Orthopaedic Foot and Ankle Society on angular measurements. *Foot Ankle Int* 2002; 23: 68–74.
26. Aseyo D, Nathan H. Hallux sesamoid bones. Anatomical observations with special reference to osteoarthritis and hallux valgus. *Int Orthop* 1984; 8: 67–73.
27. Kiter E, Acalla S, Alper Kiliç B, Demirkan F. Distribution of the metatarsophalangeal sesamoids in Turkish subjects. *J Am Podiatr Med Assoc* 2006; 96: 437–441.
28. Kewenter Y. Die Sesambeine des 1. Metatarsophalangealgegenks des Menschen. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1936; 2 (Suppl.): 1–113.
29. Dobas DC, Silvers MD. The frequency of partite sesamoids of the first metatarsophalangeal joint. *J Am Podiatr Assoc* 1977; 67: 880–882.
30. Rodeo SA, Warren RF, O'Brien SJ, Pavlov H, Barnes R, Hanks GA. Diastasis of bipartite sesamoids of the first metatarsophalangeal joint. *Foot Ankle* 1993; 14: 425–434.
31. Rosenbaum de Britto S. The first metatarso-sesamoid joint. *Int Orthop* 1982; 6: 61–67.
32. García A, Parkes JC. Fracturas del pie. In: Giannestras NJ, editor. *Trastornos del Pie*. Barcelona: Salvat Editores; 1979: 507–554.
33. Aper RL, Saltzman CL, Brown TD. The effect of hallux sesamoid resection on the effective moment of the flexor hallucis brevis. *Foot Ankle Int* 1994; 15: 462–470.
34. Michaud TC. *Foot orthoses and other forms of conservative foot care*. Massachusetts: Williams and Wilkins; 1996.
35. Iida M, Basmajian JV. Electromyography of hallux valgus. *Clin Orthop* 1974; 101: 220–224.
36. Aper RL, Saltzman CL, Brown TD. The effect of hallux sesamoid excision on the flexor hallucis longus moment arm. *Clin Orthop* 1996; 325: 209–217.