

# Local null controllability of a cubic Ginzburg-Landau equation with dynamic boundary conditions

Roberto Morales Ponce (UD, Spain)<sup>1</sup>

Workshop on PDEs and Control - PKM60.

A conference to celebrate the 60th birthday of Kisko and Manolo.

September 3, 2025



**Deusto**

---

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: [roberto.morales@deusto.es](mailto:roberto.morales@deusto.es)

- ① Introduction and main result
- ② Existence and uniqueness results for solutions
- ③ A new Carleman estimate
- ④ Comments

# Introduction

# Introduction

In this talk, for simplicity, we restrict our attention to the case of **Cubic Ginzburg-Landau equation with dynamic boundary conditions**<sup>2</sup>:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - a(1 + \alpha i)\Delta u + \mathcal{F}(u) = \mathbb{1}_\omega h & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t u_\Gamma + a(1 + \alpha i)\partial_\nu u - b(1 + \alpha i)\Delta_\Gamma u_\Gamma + \mathcal{F}(u_\Gamma) = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ u|_\Gamma = u_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ (u(0), u_\Gamma(0)) = (u_0, u_{\Gamma,0}) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

where

- $i := \sqrt{-1}$  is the imaginary unit.
- $\partial_\nu$  denotes the normal derivative operator,
- $\Delta_\Gamma$  is the Laplace-Beltrami operator acting on  $\Gamma$ ,
- $\mathcal{F}(w) = c(1 + \gamma i)|w|^2 w$ ,
- $a, b > 0$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Carreño, N., Mercado, A., & Morales, R. (2025). Local null controllability of a cubic Ginzburg-Landau equation with dynamic boundary conditions. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 25(3), 62.

- We notice that this equation can be seen as a coupled system in the variables  $(u, u_\Gamma)$ , which is controlled by a single control  $h$  in small subset.
- This means that the first equation is controlled directly by the action of the control, while the second equation is controlled through the side condition  $u|_\Gamma = u_\Gamma$  on  $\Gamma \times (0, T)$ .
- These models have been considered by Corrêa et al <sup>3</sup> and studied well-posedness of linear/nonlinear of such models. In addition, long time behavior of solutions is characterized when Lipschitz nonlinearities are considered.

---

<sup>3</sup>Corrêa, W. J., & Özşarı, T. (2018). Complex Ginzburg–Landau equations with dynamic boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, 41, 607–641.

The objective is to establish the **local null controllability** in  $X$  of this system:  $\exists \delta > 0$  such that, for every initial state  $(u_0, u_{\Gamma,0}) \in X$  which fulfills

$$\|(u_0, u_{\Gamma,0})\|_X \leq \delta,$$

we can find a control  $h \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$  such that the solution  $(u, u_\Gamma)$  fulfills

$$u(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u_\Gamma(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

For  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , we consider the Banach space  $\mathbb{L}^p := L^p(\Omega) \times L^p(\Gamma)$ , endowed by

$$\|(u, u_\Gamma)\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}^2 := \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_\Gamma\|_{L^p(\Gamma)}^2.$$

In particular, for  $p = 2$ , the space  $\mathbb{L}^2 := L^2(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma)$  is a Hilbert space equipped with the scalar product

$$\langle (u, u_\Gamma), (v, v_\Gamma) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}^2} := \Re \int_{\Omega} u \bar{v} \, dx + \Re \int_{\Gamma} u_\Gamma \overline{v_\Gamma} \, dS.$$

In addition, for  $k \geq 1$ , we introduce the space

$$\mathbb{H}^k := \{(y, y_\Gamma) \in H^k(\Omega) \times H^k(\Gamma) : y|_{\Gamma} = y_\Gamma\}.$$

## Theorem (Carreño, Mercado, M., 2025)

Suppose that  $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ . Then, for every  $T > 0$  and  $\omega \Subset \Omega$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that, for every  $(u_0, u_{\Gamma,0}) \in \mathbb{H}^1$  satisfying

$$\|(u_0, u_{\Gamma,0})\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \leq \delta,$$

there exists a control  $h \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))$  such that the unique corresponding solution of the GL equation satisfies

$$u(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u_{\Gamma}(\cdot, T) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

# Strategy

To prove the main theorem, we follow the next steps:

- We first deduce a null controllability result for a linear system of the form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y - a(1 + \alpha i) \Delta y = f + \mathbb{1}_\omega h & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \partial_t y_\Gamma + a(1 + \alpha i) \partial_\nu y - b(1 + \alpha i) \Delta_\Gamma y_\Gamma = f_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ y|_\Gamma = y_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ (y, y_\Gamma)(0) = (y_0, y_{\Gamma,0}) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

where  $(f, f_\Gamma)$  will be taken to decrease exponentially to zero in  $t = T$ .

- Then, we prove a new Carleman estimate for the adjoint system associated to the linearized equation. This will provide existence of a unique solution to a suitable variational problem, from which we define a solution  $(y, y_\Gamma, h)$  such that

$$y(T) = 0 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}.$$

- Finally, by an inverse mapping theorem, we deduce the null controllability of the nonlinear system.

# Existence and uniqueness results for solutions of GL equation with dynamic boundary conditions

For  $a, b > 0$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_{GL} : D(\mathcal{A}_{GL}) \subset \mathbb{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^2$  is the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_{GL}(U) := \begin{bmatrix} a(1 + \alpha i)\Delta u \\ -a(1 + \alpha i)\partial_\nu u + b(1 + \alpha i)\Delta_\Gamma u_\Gamma \end{bmatrix},$$

for each  $U := \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u_\Gamma \end{bmatrix} \in D(\mathcal{A}_{GL})$  with domain

$$D(\mathcal{A}_{GL}) := \left\{ U = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ u_\Gamma \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^1 : (\Delta u, \Delta_\Gamma u_\Gamma) \in \mathbb{L}^2 \right\},$$

which is equivalent to  $\mathbb{H}^2$  since  $\Gamma$  is smooth <sup>4</sup>.

---

<sup>4</sup>Gal, C. G. (2015). The role of surface diffusion in dynamic boundary conditions: Where do we stand?. Milan Journal of Mathematics, 83(2), 237-278.

We consider the linear problem in the abstract form

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = \mathcal{A}_{GL}U(t) + F(t), & t \in (0, T), \\ U(0) = U_0. \end{cases}$$

We have the following result:

### Proposition (Existence and uniqueness)

- The operator  $\mathcal{A}_{GL}$  is densely defined and generates an analytic semigroup  $(e^{t\mathcal{A}_{GL}})_{t \geq 0}$  in  $\mathbb{L}^2$ .
- Suppose that  $U_0 \in \mathbb{L}^2$  and  $F \in L^2(0, T; (\mathbb{H}^1)')$ . Then, the

$$U \in C^0([0, T]; \mathbb{L}^2) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^1).$$

- If  $U_0 \in \mathbb{H}^1$  and  $F \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ . Then,

$$U \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2) \cap C^0([0, T]; \mathbb{H}^1) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2).$$

Let us consider the nonlinear problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu + c(1 + \gamma i)|u|^2 u = f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ L_\Gamma(u, u_\Gamma) + c(1 + \gamma i)|u_\Gamma|^2 u_\Gamma = f_\Gamma & \text{in } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ u|_\Gamma = u_\Gamma & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0, T), \\ (u(0), u_\Gamma(0)) = (u_0, u_{\Gamma,0}) & \text{in } \Omega \times \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

### Proposition (Existence of solutions in the nonlinear case)

Let  $d = 2$  or  $d = 3$ . There exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that, for every  $(f, f_\Gamma) \in L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)$ ,  $(u_0, u_{\Gamma,0}) \in \mathbb{H}^1$  such that

$$\|(f, f_\Gamma)\|_{L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2)} + \|(u_0, u_{\Gamma,0})\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \leq \epsilon,$$

there exists a unique solution  $(u, u_\Gamma)$ .

To prove this result, we use the Banach fixed point Theorem.

# A new Carleman estimate

Let  $\lambda, m > 1$  and given  $\omega' \Subset \omega \Subset \Omega$ , we introduce the **weight functions**

$$\varphi(x, t) := (t(T - t))^{-1} \left( e^{2\lambda m \|\eta^0\|_\infty} - e^{\lambda(m\|\eta^0\|_\infty + \eta^0(x))} \right),$$

$$\xi(x, t) := (t(T - t))^{-1} e^{\lambda(m\|\eta^0\|_\infty + \eta^0(x))}$$

for  $(x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)$ , where  $\eta^0 \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfies

$$\eta^0 > 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \eta^0 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \quad |\nabla \eta^0| > 0 \text{ in } \overline{\Omega \setminus \omega'}.$$

# A new Carleman estimate

## Theorem (Carreño, Mercado, M., 2025)

Let  $\omega \Subset \Omega$ . Set  $\omega' \Subset \omega$  and  $\eta^0$  as before. Then, there exist constants  $C, \lambda_0, s_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$  and  $s \geq s_0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} e^{-2s\varphi} (s^3 \lambda^4 \xi^3 |v|^2 + s \lambda^2 \xi |\nabla v|^2 + s^{-1} \xi^{-1} (|\partial_t v|^2 + |\Delta v|^2)) \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} e^{-2s\varphi} (s^3 \lambda^3 \xi^3 |v_{\Gamma}|^2 + s \lambda \xi (|\nabla_{\Gamma} v_{\Gamma}|^2 + |\partial_{\nu} v|^2)) \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} e^{-2s\varphi} s^{-1} \xi^{-1} (|\partial_t v_{\Gamma}|^2 + |\Delta_{\Gamma} v_{\Gamma}|^2) \\ & \leq C s^3 \lambda^4 \int_0^T \int_{\omega} e^{-2s\varphi} \xi^3 |v|^2 + C \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |\partial_t v + a(1 - \alpha i) \Delta v|^2 \\ & + C \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma} e^{-2s\varphi} |\partial_t v_{\Gamma} - a(1 - \alpha i) \partial_{\nu} v + b(1 - \alpha i) \Delta_{\Gamma} v_{\Gamma}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $(v, v_{\Gamma}) \in H^1(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2) \cap L^2(0, T; \mathbb{H}^2)$ .

- To prove this result, we follow the ideas developed by L. Rosier and B. Y. Zhang <sup>5</sup>. The main difficulty in our case is to deal with the new boundary terms appeared in the conjugation process.
- The assumption  $b > 0$  is crucial to keep the term  $|\nabla_{\Gamma} v_{\Gamma}|^2$  on the left-hand side.
- With this estimate at hand, we can establish the observability inequality needed to our purposes. Therefore, the null controllability for the linear case is given.
- Combining these results with a local inversion argument in Banach spaces, the main result is obtained.

---

<sup>5</sup>Rosier, L., & Zhang, B. Y. (2009, February). Null controllability of the complex Ginzburg–Landau equation. In Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire (Vol. 26, No. 2, pp. 649-673).

# Comments

# Comments

- Similar results can be obtained using different nonlinearities  $\mathcal{F}$ , but the delicate point is choosing the functional spaces appropriately.
- For the 1D problem, the treatment is easier, since the tangential derivatives are not included in the equations.
- Other control problems can be considered for the Ginzburg-Landau equation with dynamic boundary conditions.



Figure: Happy birthday Kisko and Manolo! ;)